**Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 43R**

Summary of Revisions:

1. All Changes Exposed at the Summer NM have been accepted with new edits shown as tracked. (This has been done for readability and to highlight changes from the prior exposure.)
2. Paragraphs 1: Revisions to clarify that the guidance for determining whether a security complies with the bond definition is in SSAP No. 26R.
3. Paragraphs 2: Revisions to include Freddie-Mac When-Issued Trust Certificates in INT 22-01.
4. Paragraph 4: Revisions to reference SSAP No. 26R for determining qualifying ABS and to only reference “securities” and not “security structures”.
5. Paragraphs 5-9: Deleted. This guidance was pulling in aspects of the bond definition specific to ABS. With the pointer to SSAP No. 26R, which will capture the entire bond definition, the guidance has been removed. Paragraphs have been renumbered accordingly.
6. Paragraph 18: Revisions reference ‘high credit quality’ investments for the retrospective method and specifies that determination is made at the time of acquisition. This also has a revised footnote.
7. Paragraphs 21-25: Revisions to clarify guidance on the assessment of cash flows. This guidance includes revisions to specify that if a security is in an unrealized loss position and there is an adverse change in cash flows, this requires recognition of an OTTI. Also, prior references to ‘reference amount’ or ‘carrying value’ have been revised to reflect ‘amortized cost.’
8. Paragraph 26: Clarification on application unless an OTTI has occurred.
9. Paragraph 30: Reference to guidance included for when an OTTI has occurred.
10. Paragraphs 47-51: Revisions incorporate specialized transition guidance and a transition disclosure. This guidance prescribes how to reclassify securities to the subsequent applicable schedule to ensure that the schedules (verification) continue to roll. This also includes moving from DA / E2.
11. Appendix A: Deleted. This previously included guidance from the principles-based bond definition on examples for the analysis of asset-backed securities. This has been moved to SSAP No. 26R.
12. Q&A Implementation Guide – Updated for ABS (instead of LBSS), paragraph references and deleted paragraph 5.2 (page 25.)
13. Other: Lingering references to ‘loan-backed and structured security’ have been revised to ‘asset-backed security’ throughout the document.
14. Note: Although the acronym ABS can be used for asset-backed securities, consistent with the prior SSAP, in most instances the full phrase asset-backed security has often been used. This has been noted to provide an easier read and is intentional. However, if preferred, further consideration could occur to replace with the acronym.
15. Footnote references will be updated when all revisions have been accepted. (This will be an automatic update once deleted footnotes are removed from the guidance.)

# Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 43 - Revised

# Asset-Backed Securities

## SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. This statement establishes statutory accounting principles for each security investment that qualifies as an asset-backed security (ABS) under the principles-based bond definition detailed in *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*. Each security shall be individually assessed under the bond definition to determine applicability as an asset-backed security and reported separately regardless of whether the security was issued in combination or as a unit with other investments. Items captured in scope of this statement are collectively referred to as asset-backed securities.
2. In addition to security investments that qualify under the principles-based definition as an asset-backed security, certain specific investments are also captured in scope of this statement:
	1. Mortgage Referenced Securities that do not meet the definition of an asset-backed security. In order to qualify as a mortgage-referenced security, the security must be issued by a government sponsored enterprise[[1]](#footnote-2) or by a special purpose trust in a transaction sponsored by a government sponsored enterprise in the form of a “credit risk transfer.” In these situations, the issued security is tied to a referenced pool of mortgages and the payments received are linked to the credit and principal payment risk of the underlying mortgage loan borrowers captured in the referenced pool of mortgages. For these instruments, reporting entity holders may not receive a return of their full principal as principal repayment is contingent on repayment by the mortgage loan borrowers in the referenced pool of mortgages. Unless specifically noted, the provisions within this standard apply to mortgage-referenced securities. (P5)
	2. Freddie-Mac When Issued K-Deal (WI Trust) Certificates fully guaranteed by Freddie Mac are included in scope of this statement from original acquisition, and not initially reported as a derivative forward contract. (INT 22-01)
3. Securities captured in scope of this statement are not permitted to be reported as cash equivalents or short-term investments in scope of *SSAP No. 2R—Cash, Cash Equivalents, Drafts and Short-Term Investments* even if acquired within one year or less from the maturity date. Investments captured in scope of SSAP No. 2R are intended to reflect situations in which limited risk remains, either from changes in credit-quality or interest rates, due the short-duration until maturity. As ultimate cash flows from asset-backed securities may have other risks beyond default risk or interest rate risk (such as performance factors, balloon payments, collateral quality) reporting as a cash equivalent or short-term investment is not permitted to prevent inappropriate assumptions of the investment’s remaining potential risk.
4. This statement excludes:
	1. Securities captured in scope of *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*.
	2. Mortgage loans in scope of *SSAP No. 37—Mortgage* *Loans* that qualify under an SVO structural assessment as SVO-Identified Credit Tenant Loans. These investments are excluded as these are captured as issuer credit obligations under SSAP No. 26R.
	3. Securities that do not qualify as Asset-Backed Securities per the bond definition in *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*.

## SUMMARY CONCLUSION

### Principles-Based Bond Definition - Asset-Backed Security

1. Investments within the scope of this statement issued by a related party or acquired through a related party transaction or arrangement are also subject to the provisions, admittance assessments and disclosure requirements *of SSAP No. 25—Affiliates and Other Related Parties.* In determining whether a security is a related party investment, consideration should be given to the substance of the transaction, and the parties whose action or performance materially impacts the insurance reporting entity holding the security. Asset-backed securities meet the definition of assets as defined in *SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets* and are admitted assets to the extent they conform to the requirements of this statement and SSAP No. 25.
	1. Although an asset-backed security may be acquired from a non-related issuer, if the assets held in trust predominantly[[2]](#footnote-8) reflect assets issued by affiliates of the insurance reporting entity, and the insurance reporting entity only has direct recourse to the assets held in trust, the transaction shall be considered an affiliated investment. In such situations where the underlying collateral assets are issued by related parties that do not qualify as affiliates, these securities shall be identified as related party investments in the investment schedules.
	2. An asset-backed security may involve a relationship with a related party but not be considered an affiliated investment. This may be because the relationship does not result in direct or indirect control of the issuer or because there is an approved disclaimer of control or affiliation. Regardless of whether investments involving a related party relationship are captured in the affiliated investment reporting lines, these securities shall be identified as related party investments in the investment schedules. Examples of related party relationships would include involvement of a related party in sponsoring or originating the asset-backed security or any type of underlying servicing arrangement. For the avoidance of doubt, investments from any arrangement that results in direct or indirect control, including control through a servicer or other controlling arrangement, shall be reported as affiliated in accordance with *SSAP No. 25—Affiliates and Other Related Parties*.

### Initial Reporting Value and Recognition of Origination and Commitment Fees & Costs

1. Items in scope of this statement shall initially be reported at cost, including brokerage and related fees, unless otherwise detailed in paragraph 8. Acquisitions and dispositions shall be recorded on the trade date, not the settlement date, except for the acquisition of private placement asset-backed securities which shall be recorded on the funding date. For securities where all information is not known as of the trade date (e.g., actual payment factors and specific pools), a reporting entity shall make its best estimate based on known facts. (P8)
2. For assets that qualify in scope of this statement that result from a securitization or transfer of assets by the reporting entity captured in SSAP No. 103R, the guidance in that SSAP determines the initial reporting value:
	1. For asset-backed securities resulting from transfers of participating interests that qualify as a sale, the participating interests in financial assets that continue to be held by the reporting entity transferor shall be measured and reported at the date of transfer by allocating the previous carrying amount between the participating interests transferred and sold, and the participating interests that are not transferred and continue to be held by the reporting entity, based on their relative fair values.
	2. For asset-backed securities resulting from transfers of an entire financial asset or group of entire financial assets that qualify as a sale, assets obtained, including beneficial interests, shall be initially recognized at fair value.
	3. For asset-backed securities resulting from the transfer of assets that do not qualify as sales, the reporting entity transferor shall continue to report the transferred financial assets with no change in measurement.
3. Costs related to origination when paid in the form of brokerage and other related fees shall be capitalized as part of the cost of the asset-backed security. All other costs, including internal costs or costs paid to an affiliated entity related to origination, purchase, or commitment to purchase asset-backed securities, shall be charged to expense when incurred. (P44)
4. Origination fees represent fees charged to the borrower (paid to the reporting entity) in connection with the process of originating or restructuring a transaction. The fees include, but are not limited to, points, management, arrangement, placement, application, underwriting, and other fees pursuant to such a transaction. Origination fees shall not be recorded until received in cash. Origination fees intended to compensate the reporting entity for interest rate risks (e.g., points), shall be amortized into income over the term of the asset-backed security consistent with paragraph 12 of this statement. Other origination fees shall be recorded as income upon receipt. (P43)
5. Commitment fees are fees paid to the reporting entity that obligate the reporting entity to make available funds for future borrowing under a specified condition:
	1. A fee paid to the reporting entity to obtain a commitment to make funds available at some time in the futureis generally refundable only if the asset-backed security is issued. If the security is not issued, then the fees shall be recorded as investment income by the reporting entity when the commitment expires. (P45)
	2. A fee paid to the reporting entity to obtain a commitment to borrow funds at a specified rate and with specified terms quoted in the commitment agreement is generally not refundable unless the commitment is refused by the reporting entity. This type of fee shall be deferred, and amortization shall depend on whether or not the commitment is exercised. If the commitment is exercised, then the fee shall be amortized in accordance with paragraph 12 of this statement over the life of the asset-backed security as an adjustment to the investment income on the security. If the commitment expires unexercised, the commitment fee shall be recognized in income on the commitment expiration date. (P46)

### Subsequent Carrying Value Method, Amortization, Accruals and Prepayment Penalties

1. After initial recognition, the carryng value shall be determined in accordance with the reported NAIC designation. The determination of NAIC designations shall be in accordance with the requirements detailed in the *Purposes and Procedures Manual of the NAIC Investment Analysis Office* (P&P Manual)[[3]](#footnote-9): (P26)
2. For reporting entities that maintain an Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR), asset-backed securities, excluding residual tranches or interests, shall be reported at amortized cost, except for those with an NAIC designation of 6, which shall be reported at the lower of amortized cost or fair value.
3. For reporting entities that do not maintain an AVR, asset-backed securities designated highest-quality and high-quality (NAIC designations 1 and 2, respectively), excluding residual tranches or interests, shall be reported at amortized cost; loan-backed and structured securities that are designated medium quality, low quality, lowest quality and in or near default (NAIC designations 3 to 6, respectively) shall be reported at the lower of amortized cost or fair value.
4. For residual tranches or interests[[4]](#footnote-10) captured in scope of this statement, all reporting entities shall report the item on Schedule BA: Other Long-Term Invested Assets at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. Changes in the reported value from the prior period shall be recorded as unrealized gains or losses. For reporting entities that maintain an AVR, the accounting for unrealized gains and losses shall be in accordance with *SSAP No. 7—Asset Valuation Reserve and Interest Maintenance Reserve*.
5. Amortization of premium or discount shall be calculated using the scientific (constant yield) interest method and shall be recorded as an adjustment to investment income.(INT 07-01) The interest method results in a constant effective yield equal to the prevailing rate at the time of purchase or at the time of subsequent adjustments to book value. The amortization period shall reflect estimates of the period over which repayment of principal of the asset-backed securities is expected to occur, not the stated maturity period. (P9)
6. Interest shall be accrued using the effective-yield method using the redemption prices and redemption dates used for amortizing premiums and discounts. Interest income consists of interest collected during the period, the change in the due and accrued interest between the beginning and end of the period as well as reductions for premium amortization and interest paid on acquisition of asset-backed securities, and the addition of discount accrual. Contingent interest may be accrued if the applicable provisions of the underlying contract and the prerequisite conditions have been met. (P10)
7. An asset-backed security may provide for a prepayment penalty or acceleration fee in the event the investment is liquidated prior to its scheduled termination date. These fees shall be reported as investment income when received. (P12)
8. The amount of prepayment penalty and/or acceleration fees to be reported as investment income shall be calculated as follows: (P13)
	1. The amount of investment income reported is equal to the total proceeds (consideration) received less the par value of the investment; and
	2. Any difference between the book adjusted carrying value (BACV) and the par value at the time of disposal shall be reported as realized capital gains and losses subject to the authoritative literature in SSAP No. 7.

### Assessment of Cash Flows and Impact of Prepayments

1. Prepayments can be a significant variable element in the cash flows received fromasset-backed securities because they may affect the yield and determine the expected maturity against which the yield is evaluated. For example, with a mortgage-backed security, falling interest rates generate faster prepayment of the mortgages underlying the security, shortening its duration. This causes the reporting entity to reinvest assets sooner than expected at potentially less advantageous rates. This is called prepayment risk. Extension risk is created when rising interest rates slow repayment and can significantly lengthen the duration of the security. In addition to interest rate risk, other factors can influence the cash flows generated from an asset-backed securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, defaults of the underlying payors as well as performance requirements that must occur before cash flows can be generated from the underlying assets (such as with leases or royalty rights). If the underlying assets are delinquent or otherwise not generating expected cash flows, such items should be reflected in the cash flow analysis through diminishing security cash flows. Updated cash flow assessments shall continue to occur even if the underlying assets have not been liquidated and regardless of whether an other-than-temporary loss has been recognized. (P14)
2. Changes in currently estimated cash flows, including the effect of prepayment assumptions, on all asset-backed securities shall be reviewed periodically, at least quarterly. The prepayment rates of the underlying assets shall be used to determine prepayment assumptions. Prepayment assumptions shall be applied consistently across portfolios to all asset-backed securities backed by similar collateral (similar with respect to coupon, issuer, and age of collateral). Reporting entities shall use consistent assumptions across portfolios for similar collateral within controlled affiliated groups. Since each reporting entity may have a unique method for determining the prepayment assumptions, it is impractical to set standard assumptions for the industry. Relevant sources and rationale used to determine each prepayment assumption shall be documented by the reporting entity. (P15)
3. Asset-backed securities shall be revalued using the currently estimated cash flows, including new prepayment assumptions. Reporting entities may utilize the prospective adjustment method for all asset-backed securities, or they may elect to utilize the retrospective adjustment methodology to specific asset-backed securities that are reported with NAIC designations that are of high credit quality[[5]](#footnote-11) at the time of acquisition by the reporting entity. That is, the reporting entity shall determine if it will apply the retrospective or prospective method at the time of acquisition depending on the NAIC designation at that time and can only apply retrospective (as a policy election) to securities that of high credit. Subsequently, if an investment is downgraded below high credit qualify, the reporting entity may continue to apply the retrospective method unless the security is other-then-temporarily impaired. (P16)
4. The prospective approach recognizes, through the recalculation of the effective yield to be applied to future periods, the effects of all cash flows whose amounts differ from those estimated earlier and the effects and changes in projected cash flows. Under the prospective method, the recalculated effective yield will equate the amortized cost of the investment to the present value of the anticipated future cash flows. The recalculated yield is then used to accrue income on the investment balance for subsequent accounting periods. There are no accounting changes in the current period unless the security is determined to be other than temporarily impaired. (P17)
5. The retrospective methodology changes both the yield and the amortized cost so that expected future cash flows produce a return on the investment equal to the return now expected over the life of the investment as measured from the date of acquisition. Under the retrospective method, the recalculated effective yield will equate the present value of the actual and anticipated cash flows with the original cost of the investment. The current amortized cost basis for the asset-backed security is then increased or decreased to the amount that would have resulted had the revised yield been applied since inception, and investment income is correspondingly decreased or increased. (P18)

### Accretable Yield and Changes to Effective Yield for Application of Prospective Method

1. At initial acquisition of an asset-backed security, the reporting entity shall determine the accretable yield. The accretable yield is the excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the reporting entity’s initial investment in the asset-backed security. The accretable yield shall be recognized as interest income on an effective-yield basis over the life of the asset-backed security[[6]](#footnote-12). The nonaccretable difference is the contractually required payments in excess of the cash flows expected to be collected. The nonaccretable difference shall not be recognized as an adjustment to yield, a loss accrual or a valuation allowance for credit risk. For transactions initially captured in SSAP No. 103R resulting from a reporting entity’s transfer of assets, all cash flows estimated at the transaction date are defined as the holder’s estimate of the amount and timing of estimated future principal and interest cash flows used in determining the purchase price or the holder’s fair value for purposes of determining a gain or loss under SSAP No. 103R. (P20 – In Part) (FASB Glossary / ASC 325-40-35-1 & 3) *(Note – Modified to be applicable to all ABS and not just those with known credit deterioration.)*
2. After the transaction date, cash flows expected to be collected are defined as the holder’s estimate of the amount and timing of the estimated principal and interest cash flows based on the holder’s best estimate of current considerations and reasonable and supportable forecasts. Expected cash flows are re-evaluated each quarter to determine if there has been a favorable (or an adverse) change in cash flows versus the previous estimate.
3. If upon evaluation there is a favorable (or an adverse) change in cash flows expected to be collected from the cash flows previously projected, the reporting entity shall recalculate the amount of accretable yield for the asset-backed security on the date of evaluation as the excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the assert-backed security’s current amortized cost. The amortized cost is equal to the initial investment minus cash received to date, minus write-offs of the amortized cost basis (e.g., recognized other than temporary impairments) plus the yield accreted to date. If the security is in an impaired state (meaning, fair value is less than amortized cost, regardless if an unrealized loss has been recognized because the security is reported at amortized cost) and there is an adverse change in cash flows expected to be collected, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred as described in paragraph 30 and requires recognition of a realized loss pursuant to paragraph 35. However, an adverse change in cash flows due solely to changes in the interest rate of a “plain-vanilla”, variable-rate asset-backed security generally shall not result in the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment (a plan-vanilla, variable-rate asset-backed investment does not include those variable-rate investments with interest rate reset formulas that involve either leverage or an inverse floater). (ASC 325-40-35-4, 4A and 4B)
4. A favorable (or an adverse) change in cash flows expected to be collected is considered in the context of both timing and amount of the cash flows expected to be collected. Based on cash flows expected to be collected, interest income may be recognized on an asset-backed security even if the net investment in the asset-backed security is accreted to an amount greater than the amount at which the asset-backed security could be settled if prepaid immediately in its entirety. The adjustment shall be accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate in conformity with SSAP No. 3, with the amount of periodic accretion adjusted over the remaining life of the asset-backed security.
5. Determining whether there has been a favorable (or an adverse) change in cash flows expected to be collected from the cash flows previously projected (taking into consideration both the timing and amount of the cash flows expected to be collected) involves comparing the present value of the remaining cash flows expected to be collected at the initial transaction date (or at the last date previously revised) against the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected at the current financial reporting date. Both the current and previous sets of cash flows shall be discounted at a rate equal to the current yield used to accrete the asset-backed security. (ASC 325-40-35-5 & 6.)

### Recognition of Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses and Impairment Guidance

1. Asset-backed securities required to be reported at the lower of amortized cost or fair value shall report changes from the prior reporting period as unrealized gains or losses unless an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. For reporting entities required to maintain an AVR, the accounting for unrealized gains and losses shall be reported through the AVR. For reporting entities not required to maintain an AVR, unrealized gains and losses shall be recorded as a direct credit or charge to unassigned funds (surplus). (P29)
2. Assessment of an other-than-temporary impairment is required for all asset-backed securities when fair value is less than the amortized cost basis. The amortized cost basis includes adjustments made to the cost of an investment for accretion, amortization, collection of cash, and previous other-than-temporary impairments recognized as a realized loss. Reporting a security at the lower of amortized cost or fair value is not a substitute for other-than-temporary impairment recognition . For securities reported at fair value where an other-than-temporary impairment has been determined , the loss recognized reflects the realization of unrealized losses previously recorded from fluctuations in fair value. (The extent to which unrealized losses are realized depends on whether the other-than-temporary impairment is considered a full impairment or a bifurcated impairment pursuant to paragraphs 34 and 35.) After the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment, securities reported at the lower of amortized cost or fair value shall continue to report unrealized gains and losses from fluctuations in fair value. (P31 & 30)
3. If an entity intends to sell the asset-backed security (that is, it has decided to sell the security), an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. (P32)
4. If an entity does not intend to sell the asset-backed security, the entity shall assess whether it has the intent and ability[[7]](#footnote-13) to retain the investment in the security for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis. If the entity does not have the intent and ability to retain the investment for the time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. (P33)
5. If the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the entity would be unable to assert that it will recover its amortized cost basis even if it does not intend to sell the security and the entity has the intent and ability to hold. (This includes situations in which an entity has an adverse change in cash flows expected to be collected for a security that is an impaired position (meaning, fair value is less than amortized cost, regardless of if an unrealized loss has been recognized.) In such situations, an other-than temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. (For mortgage-referenced securities, an OTTI is considered to have occurred when there has been a delinquency or other credit event in the referenced pool of mortgages such that the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security.) In assessing whether the entire amortized cost basis of the security will be recovered, an entity shall compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. If present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, the entire amortized cost basis of the security will not be recovered, and an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. A decrease in the present value of cashflows expected to be collected on an asset-backed security that results from an increase or decrease in expected prepayments on the underlying assets shall be considered in the estimate of the present value of cashflows expected to be collected. (P34)
6. In determining whether an other than-temporary impairment has occurred, an entity shall calculate the present value of cash flows expected to be collected based on an estimate of the expected future cash flows of the impaired asset-backed security, discounted at the security’s effective interest rate. For securities in which there was no nonaccretable yield and for which there has been no changes to estimated cash flows since acquisition, the effective interest rate is the rate of return implicit in the security (that is, the contractual interest rate adjusted for any net deferred fees or costs, premium, or discount existing at the origination or acquisition of the security).[[8]](#footnote-14) For all other securities, the effective interest rate is the rate implicit immediately prior to the recognition of the other-than-temporary impairment. (Meaning, the effective interest rate as adjusted to reflect the last revised assessment of expected cash flows.) (P35)
7. It is inappropriate to automatically conclude that a security is not other-than-temporarily impaired because all of the scheduled payments to date have been received. However, it also is inappropriate to automatically conclude that every decline in fair value represents an other-than-temporary impairment. Further analysis and judgment are required to assess whether a decline in fair value indicates that it is probable that the holder will not collect all of the contractual or estimated cash flows from the security. In addition, the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost can indicate a decline is other than temporary. The longer and/or the more severe the decline in fair value, the more persuasive the evidence that is needed to overcome the premise that it is probable that the holder will not collect all of the contractual or estimated cash flows from the issuer of the security. (P41, ASC 325-40-35-10A)
8. In making its other-than-temporary impairment assessment, the holder shall consider all available information relevant to the collectibility of the security, including information about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts, when developing the estimate of future cash flows. Such information generally shall include the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, the financial condition of the issuer(s), expected defaults, and the value of any underlying collateral. To achieve that objective, the holder shall consider, for example, industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, and other market data that are relevant to the collectibility of the security. The holder also shall consider how other credit enhancements affect the expected performance of the security, including consideration of the current financial condition of the guarantor of a security (if the guarantee is not a separate contract) and/or whether any subordinated interests are capable of absorbing estimated losses on the loans underlying the security. The remaining payment terms of the security could be significantly different from the payment terms in prior periods (such as for some securities backed by “nontraditional loans”[[9]](#footnote-15)). Thus, the holder shall consider whether a security backed by currently performing loans will continue to perform when required payments increase in the future (including “balloon” payments). The holder also shall consider how the value of any collateral would affect the expected performance of the security. If the fair value of the collateral has declined, the holder needs to assess the effect of that decline on the ability of the holder to collect the balloon payment. (P42)
9. When an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred because the entity intends to sell the security or has assessed that that they do not have the intent and ability to retain the investments in the security for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings as a realized loss shall equal the entire difference between the investment’s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date (full impairment). For asset-backed securities held at lower of amortized cost or fair value, upon recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment, all unrealized losses would be considered realized and the current fair value becomes the new cost basis.) (P36)
10. When an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred because the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security even if the entity has no intent to sell and the entity has the intent and ability to hold, the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized as a realized loss shall equal the difference between the investment’s amortized cost basis and the present value of cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the security’s effective interest rate in accordance with paragraph 31 (bifurcated impairment). For asset-backed securities held at lower of cost or fair value, unrealized losses would be realized for the non-interest related decline. Hence, unrealized losses could continue to be reflected for these securities based on the difference between the current fair value and the present value of cash flows expected to be collected. (After recognizing an OTTI in these situations, the present value of cash flows expected to be collected becomes the new cost basis of the security.) (P37)
11. For reporting entities required to maintain an AVR or IMR, all unrealized gains and losses shall be reported through the AVR. For realized gains and losses, an analysis is required on whether the realized loss reflects an interest or non-interest related decline[[10]](#footnote-16). The analysis required is the same regardless whether a realized loss results from an impairment write-down or whether there was a gain or loss upon sale. Guidance on specific scenarios resulting in realized gains and losses are as follows (P38):

a. Unrealized Gains and Losses – Record all unrealized gains and losses through AVR. At the time an unrealized gain or loss is realized, allocation between AVR or IMR will depend on the analysis and bifurcation between interest or non-interest related declines Unrealized gains or losses that are realized shall be reversed from AVR before the recognition of the realized gain or loss within AVR and IMR.

b. Other-Than-Temporary Impairment – Non-interest related other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the AVR and interest-related OTTI losses shall be recorded through the IMR. If the reporting entity wrote the security down to fair value due to the intent to sell or because the entity does not have the intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, the entity shall bifurcate the realized loss between non-interest related (AVR) and interest related (IMR). The analysis for bifurcating impairment losses between AVR and IMR shall be completed as of the date when the other-than-temporary impairment is determined. Entities that recognized an OTTI based on the difference between amortized cost and the present value of expected cash flows shall recognize the full realized loss through AVR.

c. Security Sold at a Loss Without Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the loss into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest related declines in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.

d. Security Sold at a Loss With Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the current realized loss into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest related declines in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale. An entity shall not adjust previous allocations to AVR and IMR that resulted from previous recognition of other-than-temporary impairments.

* + 1. Security Sold at a Gain With Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the gain into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest factors in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale. The bifurcation between AVR and IMR that occurs as of the date of sale may be different from the AVR and IMR allocation that occurred at the time of previous other-than-temporary impairments. An entity shall not adjust previous allocations to AVR and IMR that resulted from previous recognition of other-than-temporary impairments.

f. Security Sold at a Gain Without Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the gain into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest factors in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.

1. This statement does not permit reversals of recognized other-than-temporary impairments based on subsequent recoveries of fair value. If there are subsequent changes to the cash flows expected to be collected, the prospective adjustment method shall be used toadjust the effective yield in future periods to reflect those changes. (P39)
2. In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other than temporary impairment loss for an asset-backed security, the reporting entity shall account for the other-than-temporarily impaired security as if the security had been purchased on the measurement date of the other-than-temporary impairment at an amortized cost basis equal to the previous amortized cost basis less the other-than-temporary impairment recognized as a realized loss. The difference between the new amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected shall be accreted as interest income. A reporting entity shall continue to estimate the present value of cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the asset-backed security. (P40)

### Designation Guidance

1. For RMBS/CMBS securities within the scope of this statement, the initial NAIC designation used to determine the carrying value method and the final NAIC designation for reporting purposes is determined using a multi-step process or the NAIC designation assigned by the NAIC Securities Valuation Office. The P&P Manual provides detailed guidance. A general description of the processes is as follows:
2. Financial Modeling: Pursuant to the P&P Manual, theNAICidentifies select securities where financial modeling must be used to determine the NAIC designation. For a modeled legacy security, meaning one which closed prior to January 1, 2013, the NAIC designation is based on financial modeling incorporating the insurers’ carrying value. For a modeled non-legacy security, meaning one which closed after December 31, 2012, the NAIC designation and NAIC designation category assigned by the NAIC Securities Valuation Office must be used. For those legacy securities that are financially modeled, the insurer must use NAIC CUSIP specific modeled breakpoints provided by the modelers in determining initial and final designation for these identified securities. As specified in the P&P Manual, a modeled legacy security RMBS or CMBS tranche that has no expected loss, as compiled and published by the NAIC Securities Valuation Office, under any of the selected modeling scenarios would be assigned an NAIC 1 designation and NAIC 1.A designation category regardless of the insurer’s book/adjusted carrying value. The three-step process for modeled legacy securities is as follows:

i. Step 1: Determine Initial Designation –The current amortized cost (divided by remaining par amount) of an asset-backed security is compared to the modeled breakpoint values assigned to each NAIC designation and NAIC designation category for each CUSIP to establish the **initial** NAIC designation.

ii. Step 2: Determine Carrying Value Method – The carrying value method, either the amortized cost method or the lower of amortized cost or fair value method, is then determined as described in paragraph 11 based upon the initial NAIC designation from Step 1.

iii. Step 3: Determine Final Designation –The final NAIC designation is determined by comparing the carrying value (divided by remaining par amount) of a security (based on paragraph 39.a.ii.) to the NAIC CUSIP specific modeled breakpoint values assigned to the NAIC designation and NAIC designation category for each CUSIP or is mapped to an NAIC designation category, according to the instructions in the P&P Manual. This final NAIC designation shall be applicable for statutory accounting and reporting purposes and the NAIC designation category will be used for investment schedule reporting and establishing RBC and AVR charges. The final NAIC designation is not used for establishing the appropriate carrying value method in Step 2 (paragraph 39.a.ii.).

1. All Other Asset-Backed Securities: For securities not subject to paragraph 39.a. (financial modeling) follow the established designation procedures according to the appropriate section of the P&P Manual. The NAIC designation shall be applicable for statutory accounting and reporting purposes (including determining the carrying value method and establishing the AVR charges). The carrying value method is established as described in paragraph 11.
2. For securities that will be financially modeled under paragraph 39, the guidance in this paragraph shall be applied in determining the reporting method for such securities acquired in the current year for quarterly financial statements. Securities reported as of the prior-year end shall continue to be reported under the prior-year end methodology for the current-year quarterly financial statements. For year-end reporting, securities shall be reported in accordance with paragraph 39, regardless of the quarterly methodology used. (P28)
3. Reporting entities that acquired the entire financial modeling database for the prior-year end are required to follow the financial modeling methodology (paragraph 39.a.) for all securities acquired in the subsequent year that were included in the financial modeling data acquired for the prior year-end.
4. Reporting entities that acquired identical securities (identical CUSIP) to those held and financially modeled for the prior year-end are required to follow the prior year-end financial modeling methodology (paragraph 39.a.) for these securities acquired subsequent to year-end.
5. Reporting entities that do not acquire the prior-year financial modeling information for current-year acquired individual CUSIPS, and are not captured within paragraphs 39.a. or 39.b., are required to follow the analytical procedures for non-financially modeled securities (paragraph 39.b. as appropriate). Reporting entities that do acquire the individual CUSIP information from the prior-year financial modeling database shall use that information for interim reporting.
6. Reporting entities that acquire securities not previously modeled at the prior year-end are required to follow the analytical procedures for non-financially modeled securities (paragraph 39.b. as appropriate).

### Giantization/Megatization of FHLMC or FNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities

1. Giantization/megatization of mortgage-backed securities is defined as existing pools of FHLMC or FNMA mortgage-backed securities (MBS) with like coupon and prefix which are repooled together by the issuing agency creating a new larger security. The new Fannie Mae “Mega” or Freddie Mac “Giant” is a guaranteed MBS pass-through representing an undivided interest in the underlying pools of loans. (P47)
2. Repooled FHLMC and FNMA securities meet the definition of substantially the same as defined in *SSAP No. 103R—Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. The transaction shall not be considered a sale/purchase and no gain or loss shall be recognized. To properly document the repooling, the transaction shall be reported through Schedule D of the annual statement as a disposition and an acquisition. (P48)
3. Transaction fees charged by the issuing agencies shall be capitalized and amortized over the life of the repooled security. (P49)

###  Disclosures

1. In addition to the disclosures required for invested assets in general, the following disclosures regarding asset-backed securities shall be made in the financial statements. Regardless of the allowances within paragraph 63 of the Preamble, the disclosures in paragraph 44.f., 44.g. and 44.h. of this statement are required in separate, distinct notes to the financial statements:
2. Fair values in accordance with *SSAP No. 100R—Fair Value*.
3. Concentrations of credit risk in accordance with SSAP No. 27;
4. Basis at which the asset-backed securities are stated;
5. The adjustment methodology used for each type of security (prospective or retrospective);
6. Descriptions of sources used to determine prepayment assumptions.
7. All securities within the scope of this statement with a recognized other-than-temporary impairment, disclosed in the aggregate, classified on the basis for the other-than-temporary impairment: (1) intent to sell, (2) inability or lack of intent to retain the investment in the security for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, or (3) present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security.
8. For each security with an other-than-temporary impairment, recognized in the current reporting period by the reporting entity, as the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the securities:
9. The amortized cost basis, prior to any current-period other-than-temporary impairment.
10. The other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings as a realized loss.
11. The fair value of the security.
12. The amortized cost basis after the current-period other-than-temporary impairment.
13. All impaired securities (fair value is less than cost or amortized cost) for which an other-than-temporary impairment has not been recognized in earnings as a realized loss (including securities with a recognized other-than-temporary impairment for non-interest related declines when a non-recognized interest related impairment remains):
14. The aggregate amount of unrealized losses (that is, the amount by which cost or amortized cost exceeds fair value) and
15. The aggregate related fair value of securities with unrealized losses.
16. The disclosures in (i) and (ii) above should be segregated by those securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer using fair values determined in accordance with SSAP No. 100R.
17. Additional information should be included describing the general categories of information that the investor considered in reaching the conclusion that the impairments are not other-than-temporary.
18. When it is not practicable to estimate fair value, the investor should disclose the following additional information, if applicable:
19. The aggregate carrying value of the investments not evaluated for impairment, and
20. The circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value.
21. For securities sold, redeemed or otherwise disposed as a result of a callable feature (including make whole call provisions), disclose the number of CUSIPs sold, disposed or otherwise redeemed and the aggregate amount of investment income generated as a result of a prepayment penalty and/or acceleration fee.
22. The items in the scope of this statement are also subject to the annual audited disclosures in *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*, paragraphs., 39.f. and 39.g.
23. Refer to the Preamble for further discussion regarding disclosure requirements. All disclosures within this statement, except disclosures included in paragraphs 44.b., 44.k. and 44.m., shall be included within the interim and annual statutory financial statements. Disclosure requirements in paragraphs 44.b., 44.k. and 44.m. are required in the annual audited statutory financial statements only.

### Relevant Literature

1. This statement reflects specific statutory accounting guidance for assets that qualify as asset-backed securities under the statutory accounting principles-based bond definition. The classification of investments as ‘bonds’ for statutory accounting and reporting purposes differs from the U.S. GAAP determination of a “debt instrument” and this statement reflects statutory specific measurement and impairment guidance for investments captured in scope. This statement does incorporate limited U.S. GAAP concepts, particularly with the determination of accretable yield and consideration of changes in expected cash flows using the retrospective or prospective method. However, due to the statutory accounting specifications on scope, measurement method and impairment, no U.S. GAAP standards are considered adopted within this statement. Concepts that converge with U.S. GAAP are limited to the extent they are detailed in this statement.

Note – With adoption, U.S. GAAP standards previously adopted in SSAP No. 43R will be identified as rejected for statutory accounting. With the issuance of this standard, all relevant literature guidance will be removed. This information can be detailed in the issue paper for historical tracking purposes. GAAP guidance previously adopted that will no longer be identified as adopted within this guidance are shown as deleted text below:

### Effective Date and Transition

1. This statement is effective for years beginning January 1, 2025The revisions to this statement, and *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*, incorporate principal concepts on what should be reported as a long-term bond. Securities that qualify as issuer credit obligations within the principal concepts are captured within scope of SSAP No. 26R. Securities that qualify as asset-backed securities within the principal concepts are captured within scope of SSAP No. 43R. Securities that do not qualify as issuer credit obligations or ABS, unless specifically permitted in scope of these statements, are not permitted to be reported as a bond.
2. At the time of transition, reporting entities shall make their best efforts to assess investments to determine whether they qualify within the bond definition for reporting as issuer credit obligations on Schedule D-1-1 or asset-backed securities on Schedule D-1-2. The bond definition requires assessments at the time of acquisition, and it is recognized that reporting entities may not have the means to complete historical assessments for securities held at the time of transition. For these instances, if information is not readily available for reporting entities to assess a security as of the date at acquisition, reporting entities may utilize current information in concluding that a security qualifies for reporting as a bond as either an issuer obligation or asset-backed security.
3. Investments that were reported as a bond on Schedule D-1: Long-Term Bonds as of December 31, 2024 that do not qualify under the principle-based bond concepts shall be reported as a disposal from that schedule, with a reacquisition on the appropriate reporting schedule as of January 1, 2025. These investments shall be accounted for in accordance with the resulting SSAP that addresses the specific investment structure. For securities that are reported at the lower of amortized cost or fair value under the new applicable guidance, this could result with an unrealized loss in the measurement of the investment at the time of the reclassification. Although the adoption of this guidance is considered a change in accounting principle under SSAP No. 3, the following transition guidance shall be applied on January 1, 2025, to ensure consistency in reporting and to allow investment schedules to roll appropriately:
4. Securities reclassified from Schedule D-1 as they no longer qualify under the bond definition shall be reported as a disposal from Schedule D-1 at amortized cost. Although no proceeds are received, amortized cost at the time of disposal shall be reported as consideration on Schedule D-4.
5. For securities held at amortized cost at the time of disposal, book adjusted carrying value and amortized cost shall agree, preventing gain or loss recognition at the time of reclassification.
6. For securities held at fair value under the lower of amortized cost or fair value measurement method, previously reported unrealized losses shall be reversed on Jan. 1, 2025, prior to disposal, resulting with a reported value that mirrors amortized cost at the time of disposal. This action prevents realized loss recognition at time of reclassification.
7. Securities reclassified from Schedule D-1 shall be recognized on the subsequent schedule (e.g., Schedule BA) with an actual cost that agrees to the disposal value (amortized cost). Immediately subsequent to recognition on the resulting schedule, the securities shall be reported in accordance with the measurement method prescribed by the applicable SSAP:
8. For securities previously reported at fair value on Schedule D-1 (under a lower of amortized cost or fair value measurement method), the reporting entity will recognize an unrealized loss to match the previously reported book adjusted carrying value. Subsequently, the security will continue to reflect a lower of amortized cost or fair value measurement method.
9. For securities previously reported at amortized cost on Schedule D-1, if the subsequent statement requires a lower of amortized cost or fair value measurement method, then the reporting entity shall recognize an unrealized loss to the extent fair value is less than amortized cost.
10. After application of paragraph 49b.i and 49b.ii all securities shall reflect either the same reported value as of December 31, 2024 (amortized cost or fair value) or a lower reported value (if the security is subject to the lower of amortized cost or fair value measurement method). There should be no instances that result with a security having a greater reported value than what was presented on December 31, 2024. Subsequent to transition, securities reported at fair value may incur unrealized gains or losses due to fair value fluctuations, but should never have unrealized gains that result with a book adjusted carrying value that exceeds amortized cost.
11. With this transition guidance, changes in measurement for securities reclassified under the bond definition will be reported as a change in unrealized capital gains (losses) in the first quarter 2025 financial statements (unless sold in the interim with a realized gain or loss) and not as a change in accounting principle. To enable regulators the ability to identify the impact of securities reclassified under the bond definition, the following disclosure for the 2025 first quarter financial statement is required:
12. Aggregate book adjusted carrying value for all securities reclassified off Schedule D-1.
13. Aggregate book adjusted carrying value after transition for all securities reclassified off Schedule D-1 that resulted with a change in measurement basis. (This shall be a subset of paragraph 50a and captures the securities that moved from an amortized cost to a fair value measurement method under the lower of amortized cost or fair value approach.)
14. Aggregate surplus impact for securities reclassified off Schedule D-1. This shall include the difference between book adjusted carrying value as of December 31, 2024 and book adjusted carrying value after transition for those securities that moved from an amortized cost to a fair value measurement method under the lower of amortized cost or fair value approach.
15. Asset-backed securities that were previously reported as short-term (Schedule DA) or as a cash equivalent (Schedule E2) shall be reclassified to be reported on Schedule D-1-2 on Jan. 1, 2025. Similar to the process detailed in paragraph 49, the securities shall be removed from DA and E2 at amortized cost, with reversal of any unrealized loss prior to the reclassification. The amortized cost shall be reported as “consideration received on disposals’ on Schedule DA – Verification Between Years or Schedule E-2 – Verification Between Years, as applicable based on the prior reporting location. The security shall be recognized as an ABS acquired on Schedule D-3 at amortized cost. Immediate after initial recognition, if the security was required to be held at fair value, under the lower of amortized cost or fair value measurement method, the reporting entity shall recognize an unrealized loss.

## REFERENCES

### Other

* *Purposes and Procedures Manual of the NAIC Investment Analysis Office*
* NAIC Valuation of Securities product prepared by the Securities Valuation Office

### Relevant Issue Papers

* *Issue Paper No. XX—Principles Based Bond Definition*

## EXHIBIT A – Question and Answer Implementation Guide

This exhibit addresses common questions regarding the valuation and impairment guidance detailed in SSAP No. 43R.

**Index to Questions**

| **No.** | **Question** |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Are reporting entities permitted to establish an accounting policy to write down a SSAP No. 43R other-than-temporarily impaired security, for which a “non-interest” related decline exists, to fair-value regardless of whether the reporting entity intends to sell, or has the intent and ability to hold? |
| 2 | Can a reporting entity avoid completing a cash-flow assessment or testing for a specific other-than-temporarily impaired security when the entity believes there is a clear cash-flow shortage (i.e., non-interest related impairment) and elect to recognize a full impairment for the SSAP No. 43R security (no impairment bifurcation), with fair value becoming the new amortized cost basis, and recognition of the full other-than-temporary impairment as a realized loss?  |
| 3 | Can reporting entities change their “intend to sell” or “unable to hold” assertions and recover previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments?  |
| 4 | How do the regulators intend the phrase “intent and ability to hold” as used within SSAP No. 43R to be interpreted?  |
| 5 | How do contractual prepayments affect the determination of credit losses?  |
| 6 | Are the disclosure requirements within paragraphs 44.f. and 44.g. of SSAP No. 43R required to be completed for the current reporting quarter only, or as a year-to-date cumulative disclosure?  |
| 7 | If an impairment loss is recognized based on the "present value of projected cash flows" in one period is the entity required to get new cash flows every reporting period subsequent or just in the periods where there has been a significant change in the actual cash flows from projected cash flows? |
| Questions 8-10 are specific to securities subject to the financial modeling process. (This process is limited to qualifying RMBS/CMBS securities reviewed by the NAIC Structured Securities Group.) The guidance in questions 8-10 shall not be inferred to other securities in scope of SSAP No. 43R. |
| 8 | Do ABS purchased in different lots result in a different NAIC designation for the same CUSIP? Can reporting entities use a weighted average method determined on a legal entity basis? |
| 9 | The NAIC Designation process for ABS may incorporate loss expectations that differ from the reporting entity’s expectations related to OTTI conclusions. Should the reporting entities be required to incorporate recovery values obtained from data provided by the service provider used for the NAIC Designation process for impairment analysis as required by SSAP No. 43R? |
| 10 | For companies that have separate accounts, can the NAIC designation be assigned based upon the total legal entity or whether it needs to be calculated separately for the general account and the total separate account? |

Questions 8-10 are specific to securities subject to the financial modeling process. (This process is limited to qualifying RMBS/CMBS securities reviewed by the NAIC Structured Securities Group.) The guidance in questions 8-10 shall not be inferred to other securities in scope of SSAP No. 43R.

**1. Question**- Are reporting entities permitted to establish an accounting policy to write down a SSAP No. 43R other-than-temporarily impaired security, for which a “non-interest” related decline exists, to fair-value regardless of whether the reporting entity intends to sell, or has the intent and ability to hold?

1.1 Pursuant to the guidance in SSAP No. 43R, optionality is not permitted. As such, an accounting policy that differs from SSAP No. 43R would be considered a departure from statutory accounting principles as prescribed by the NAIC *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual.*

**2. Question**– Can a reporting entity avoid completing a cash-flow assessment or testing for a specific other-than-temporarily impaired security when the entity believes there is a clear cash-flow shortage (i.e., non-interest related impairment) and elect to recognize a full impairment for the SSAP No. 43R security (no impairment bifurcation), with fair value becoming the new amortized cost basis, and recognition of the full other-than-temporary impairment as a realized loss?

2.1 Under the basis of SSAP No. 43R, an entity is not permitted to elect a write-down to fair value in lieu of assessing cash flows and bifurcating “interest” and “non-interest” impairment components. As noted in paragraph 30, if the entity does not have the intent to sell, and has the intent and ability to hold, but does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the entity shall compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. If present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, the entire amortized cost basis of the security will not be recovered (a non-interest decline exists) and an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. Pursuant to paragraph 34, when an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred because the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security even if the entity has no intent to sell and the entity has the intent and ability to hold, the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized as a realized loss shall equal the difference between the investment’s amortized cost basis and the present value of cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the asset-backed security’s effective interest rate.

2.2 If the entity does not want to assess cash flows of an impaired security (fair value is less than amortized cost), the entity can designate the security as one the entity intends to sell, or one that the entity does not have the intent and ability to hold, providing it is reflective of the true intent and assessment of the ability of the entity. Once an impaired security has this designation, pursuant to paragraphs 28 or 29, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. As detailed in paragraph 34, the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings as a realized loss shall equal the entire difference between the investment’s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date.

2.3 As addressed in question 3 of this Question and Answer Guide, reporting entities are not permitted to change assertions regarding their intent to sell or their lack of intent and ability to hold. Once the security has been identified as one the entity intends to sell, or as a security that the entity does not have the intent and ability to hold, that assertion shall not change as long as the entity continues to hold the security.

**3. Question**- Can reporting entities change their “intend to sell” or “unable to hold” assertions and recover previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments?

3.1 No, a reporting entity is not permitted to change assertions and reverse previously recognized SSAP No. 43R other-than-temporary impairments. Although an entity may elect to hold a security due to a favorable change in the security’s fair value, once the security has been identified as one the entity intends to sell, or as a security that the entity does not have the intent and ability to hold for purposes of initially recognizing an other-than-temporary impairment, that assertion shall not change as long as the entity continues to hold the security.

3.2 Reporting entities that have recognized an other-than-temporary impairment on a SSAP No. 43R security in a manner corresponding with an assertion on the intent to sell or the lack of the intent and ability to hold, for which a subsequent other-than-temporary impairment has been identified, shall recognize a realized loss for the difference between the current amortized cost (reflecting the previously recognized SSAP No. 43R other-than-temporary impairment) and the fair value at the balance sheet date of the subsequent impairment. Thus, bifurcation of impairment between interest and non-interest related declines is not permitted for securities in which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized on the basis that the reporting entity had the intent to sell, or lacked the intent and ability to hold, regardless if the entity has subsequently decided to hold the security.

3.3 Reporting entities shall reclassify a security as one for which there is an intent to sell, or for which there is not an intent or ability to hold, regardless if a bifurcated other-than-temporary impairment had previously been recognized, as soon as the entity realizes that they can no longer support a previous assertion to hold the security. In making such reclassifications, if the security is impaired, the difference between the amortized cost (reflecting the initial non-interest other-than-temporary impairment recognized) and fair value at the balance sheet date of the reclassification shall be recognized as a realized loss, with fair value reflecting the new amortized cost basis. Once such a reclassification occurs, and the security is classified as one for which there is an intent to sell, or for which there is not an intent and ability to hold, the security must continue to carry that assertion until it is no longer held by the reporting entity.

**4. Question**– How do the regulators intend the phrase “intent and ability to hold” as used within SSAP No. 43R to be interpreted?

4.1 SSAP No. 43R paragraph 29 states in part “…the entity shall assess whether it has the intent and ability to retain the investment in the security for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis. If the entity does not have the intent and ability to retain the investment for the time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred.”

4.2 The intent of this language within SSAP No. 43R is focused on ensuring that, as of the balance sheet date, after considering the entity’s own cash or working capital requirements and contractual or regulatory obligations and all known facts and circumstances related to the impaired security, the entity does not have the intention of selling the impaired security and has the current intent and ability to hold the security to recovery. Due to impairment bifurcation provisions provided within SSAP No. 43R, and the amortized cost measurement method generally permitted for asset-backed securities, the assessment of “intent and ability” is intended to be a high standard. Despite the intent of paragraph 29, it is identified that information not known to the entity may become known in subsequent periods and/or facts and circumstances related to an individual holding or group of holdings may change thereby influencing the entity’s subsequent determination of intent and ability with respect to a security or securities.

4.3 If a reporting entity asserts that it has the intent and ability to hold a security, or group of securities, until recovery of the amortized cost, but sells or otherwise disposes the security or securities prior to such recovery, the reporting entity shall be prepared to justify this departure from their original assertion to examiners and auditors. SSAP No. 43R purposely does not identify specific circumstances in which a change in assertion would be justifiable, but requires judgment from management, examiners and auditors on whether future assertions warrant closer review.

4.4 Delaying recognition of other-than-temporary impairments is a cause of serious concern by the regulators, and entities that habitually delay such recognition through false assertions on the “intent and ability to hold” may face increased scrutiny and regulatory action by their domiciliary state. It is imperative that a reporting entity recognize the full other-than-temporary impairment as soon as the entity realizes that they will no longer be able to hold the security until recovery of the amortized cost basis. Greater scrutiny shall be placed on securities sold or otherwise disposed shortly after a financial statement reporting date if such securities had been excluded from the full other-than-temporary impairment recognition on the basis of the reporting entity’s intent and ability to hold.

4.5 As noted in paragraph 3.3 of this question and answer guide, once a security is classified as one for which there is an intent to sell, or for which there is not an intent and ability to hold, the security must continue to carry that assertion until the security is no longer held by the reporting entity.

**5. Question**– How do contractual prepayments affect the determination of credit losses?

5.1 Paragraph 30 of SSAP No. 43R states that "A decrease in cash flows expected to be collected on asset-backed security that results from an increase in prepayments on the underlying assets shall be considered in the estimate of present value of cash flows expected to be collected.” Paragraph 18 states that "Asset-backed securities shall be revalued using the currently estimated cash flows, including new prepayment assumptions. Reporting entities may utilize the prospective adjustment method for all asset-backed securities that are reported with NAIC designations that are of high credit at the of acquisition by the reporting entity.”

**6. Question** – Are the disclosure requirements within paragraphs 44.f. and 44.g. of SSAP No. 43R required to be completed for the current reporting quarter only, or as a year-to-date cumulative disclosure?

6.1 The disclosures should reflect the year-to-date other-than-temporary impairments. The “fair value” reported within the disclosure is intended to reflect the fair value at the date of the other-than-temporary impairment and shall not be updated due to the fluctuations identified at subsequent reporting dates. If a security has more than one other-than-temporary impairment identified during a fiscal reporting year, the security shall be included on the disclosure listing separately for each identified other-than-temporary impairment. Notation shall be included on the disclosure identifying the other-than-temporary impairments that were recognized for each respective reporting period.

**7. Question** – If an impairment loss is recognized based on the "present value of projected cash flows" in one period is the entity required to get new cash flows every reporting period subsequent or just in the periods where there has been a significant change in the actual cash flows from projected cash flows?

7.1 The guidance in paragraph 38 of SSAP No. 43R indicates that a reporting entity shall continue to estimate the present value of cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the asset-backed security. This guidance is explicit that the reporting entity shall continue to estimate the present value of cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan-backed or structured security.

7.2 As provided in paragraph 2.2 of this Q&A, if the entity does not want to assess cash flows of an impaired security (fair value is less than amortized cost), the entity can designate the security as one the entity intends to sell, or one that the entity does not have the intent and ability to hold, providing it is reflective of the true intent and assessment of the ability of the entity. Reporting entities subject to the requirements of AVR and IMR should allocate the impairment loss between AVR and IMR accordingly.

**8. Question** – Do ABS purchased in different lots result in a different NAIC designation for the same CUSIP? Can reporting entities use a weighted average method determined on a legal entity basis?

8.1 Under the financial modeling process (applicable to qualifying RMBS/CMBS reviewed by the NAIC Structured Securities Group), the amortized cost of the security impacts the “final” NAIC designation used for reporting and RBC purposes. As such, securities subject to the financial modeling process acquired in different lots can result in a different NAIC designation for the same CUSIP. In accordance with the current instructions for calculating AVR and IMR, reporting entities are required to keep track of the different lots separately, which means reporting the different designations. For reporting purposes, if a SSAP No. 43R security (by CUSIP) has different NAIC designations by lot, the reporting entity shall either 1) report the aggregate investment with the lowest applicable NAIC designation or 2) report the investment separately by purchase lot on the investment schedule. If reporting separately, the investment may be aggregated by NAIC designation. (For example, all acquisitions of the identical CUSIP resulting with an NAIC 1 designation may be aggregated, and all acquisitions of the identical CUSIP resulting with an NAIC 3 designation may be aggregated.)

**9. Question** – The NAIC Designation process for ABS subject to the financial modeling process may incorporate loss expectations that differ from the reporting entity’s expectations related to OTTI conclusions. Should the reporting entities be required to incorporate recovery values obtained from data provided by the service provider used for the NAIC Designation process for impairment analysis as required by SSAP No. 43R?

9.1 In accordance with *INT 06-07: Definition of Phrase “Other Than Temporary*,” reporting entities are expected to “consider all available evidence” at their disposal, including the information that can be derived from the NAIC designation.

**10. Question** - For companies that have separate accounts, can the NAIC designation be assigned based upon the total legal entity or whether it needs to be calculated separately for the general account and the total separate account?

10.1 The financial modeling process for qualifying RMBS/CMBS securities is required for applicable securities held in either the general or separate account.

1. Currently, only Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are the government sponsored entities that either directly issue qualifying mortgage-referenced securities or sponsor transactions in which a special purpose trust issues qualifying mortgage-reference securities. However, this guidance would apply to mortgage-referenced securities issued by any other government sponsored entity that subsequently engages in the transfer of mortgage credit risk. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. In applying this guidance, a reporting entity is not required to complete a detailed review of the assets held in trust to determine the extent, if any, the assets were issued by related parties. Rather, this guidance is a principle concept intended to prevent situations in which related party transactions (particularly those involving affiliates) is knowingly captured in a SSAP No. 43R structure and not identified as a related party transaction (or not reported as an affiliated investment on the investment schedule) because of the involvement of a non-related trustee or SSAP No. 43R security issuer. As identified in *SSAP No. 25—Affiliates and Other Related Parties*, it is erroneous to conclude that the inclusion of a non-related intermediary, or the presence of non-related assets in a structure predominantly comprised of related party investments, eliminates the requirement to identify and assess the investment transaction as a related party arrangement. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
3. Paragraphs 39-40 provide guidance on the NAIC financial modeling approach applicable to certain securities in determining NAIC designations. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
4. Reference to “residual tranches or interests” intends to capture securitization tranches and beneficial interests as well as other structures captured in scope of this statement that reflect loss layers without any contractual payments, whether principal or interest, or both. Payments to holders of these investments occur after contractual interest and principal payments have been made to other tranches or interests and are based on the remaining available funds. Although payments to holders can occur throughout an investment’s duration (and not just at maturity), such instances still reflect the residual amount permitted to be distributed after other holders have received contractual interest and principal payments. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
5. Under U.S. GAAP, application of the retrospective method for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets, which would generally encompass most asset backed securities defined within SSAP 43R, is limited to “high quality” investments. This has been interpreted to be investments with AA or better ratings. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
6. An asset-backed security may be acquired at a discount because of a change in credit quality or rate or both. When a security is acquired at a discount that relates, at least in part, to the security’s credit quality, the effective interest rate is the discount rate that equates the present value of the investor’s estimate of the security’s future cash flows with the purchase price of the security. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
7. This assessment shall be considered a high standard due to the accounting measurement method established for the securities within the scope of this statement (amortized cost). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
8. See Footnote 1. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
9. A nontraditional loan may have features such as (a) terms that permit principal payment deferral or payments smaller than interest accruals (negative amortization), (b) a high loan-to-value ratio, (c) multiple loans on the same collateral that when combined result in a high loan-to value ratio, (d) option adjustable-rate mortgages (option ARMs) or similar products that may expose the borrower to future increases in repayments in excess of increases that result solely from increases in the market interest rate (for example, once negative amortization results in the loan reaching a maximum principal accrual limit), (e) an initial interest rate that is below the market interest rate for the initial period of the loan term and that may increase significantly when that period ends, and (f) interest-only loans that should be considered in developing an estimate of future cash flows. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
10. Pursuant to INT 06-07, the term interest-related includes a declining value due to both increases in the risk free interest rate and general credit spread widening. Credit spreads can widen or contract for a variety of reasons, including supply/demand imbalances in the marketplace or the perceived higher/lower risk of an entire sector. If the declining value is caused, in whole or in part, due to credit spreads widening, but not due to fundamental credit problems of the issuer, the change in credit spreads is deemed to be interest-related. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)